

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,291	NOBLE ET AL.	

All Participants:	Status of Application: _____
(1) <u>Christopher L. Lavin</u> .	(3) _____.
(2) <u>Michael J. Shea (Reg. No. 34,725)</u> .	(4) _____.
Date of Interview: <u>09/13/05</u>	Time: _____
Type of Interview:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide a brief description:	
Part I.	
Rejection(s) discussed:	
N/A	
Claims discussed:	
1 and 52	
Prior art documents discussed:	
N/A	
Part II.	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
<i>There were several inconsistancies in the applicant's amended material, mainly an inconsistent use of the phrase "shape-space". Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment to correct the minor problems, as seen in the amendment.</i>	
Part III.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	



BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)